



Efficient C–S cross coupling catalyzed by Cu₂O

Hua-Jian Xu^a, Xiao-Yang Zhao^a, Jin Deng^b, Yao Fu^{b,*}, Yi-Si Feng^{a,*}

^a School of Chemical Engineering, Hefei University of Technology, Hefei 230009, China

^b Department of Chemistry, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 29 June 2008

Revised 2 November 2008

Accepted 11 November 2008

Available online 14 November 2008

ABSTRACT

A protocol for the copper-catalyzed C–S bond formation between aryl, alkyl, or heteroaryl thiols and aryl or heteroaryl halides is reported. The reaction is catalyzed by Cu₂O which shows the highest catalytic activity with ethyl 2-oxocyclohexanecarboxylate ligand in DMSO at 80 °C. The corresponding coupling products are obtained with good to excellent yields under relatively mild reaction conditions with good chemoselectivity and functional group tolerance.

© 2008 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

The formation of the C–S bond is indispensable in synthetic chemistry. Their importance stems from the prevalence of this bond in many pharmaceutical compounds and from the utility of aryl sulfides as intermediates in organic synthesis.¹ Traditional methods for the formation of C–S bond involved condensation of aryl halides with thiols requiring strongly basic and harsh reaction conditions.² To overcome these difficulties, considerable attention has been focused on the development of transition metal-catalyzed coupling of thiols with aryl halides. Palladium-,³ copper-,⁴ nickel-,⁵ cobalt-,⁶ and iron-based⁷ catalytic systems have been reported for this purpose. The high cost and air sensitivity of Pd catalyst systems and tedious procedures for the preparation of ligands restrict their applications in large-scale processes. On the other hand, Cu-mediated coupling reactions sometimes require some expensive Cu salts and the water-free conditions. Hence, there is a need for improved procedures for this important reaction in organic chemistry. As an ongoing research of exploring the less expensive Cu salts for this coupling reaction,^{4h} we reported here that cheap and readily available Cu₂O catalyzes efficiently the C–S cross-coupling of aryl, alkyl, and heteroaryl thiols with aryl and heteroaryl halides in excellent yields. During the preparation of this article, Bao and co-workers⁸ had reported that the system Cu₂O/ethyl 2-oxocyclohexanecarboxylate can catalyze Ullmann-type reaction of vinyl bromides and chlorides with imidazole and benzimidazole.

In the first stage of the study, we tried to seek the optimal ligand from compounds **1–8** for the reaction of the model substrate *p*-methyl benzenethiol with iodobenzene with Cu₂O as the catalyst. The reactions promoted by *N,N*-type ligands **7** and **8** gave low yields, although **7** was often used as an excellent ligand for the C–N coupling.⁹ *O,O*-type ligands **3**, **4**, **5**, and **6** were also tested, and moderate results were achieved. Interestingly, the reactions gave different results when ligands **1** and **2** were used, where only

ethyl group of **1** was changed into methyl group of **2**. Finally, a control experiment without any ligand was carried out, and low yield was obtained under the similar condition. From the above descriptions, ligand **1** was chosen for further investigation.

A brief search of other reaction conditions was carried out after finding the best ligand. The reaction with Cs₂CO₃ was superior to that using KOH, K₂CO₃, and K₃PO₄. Then, the effect of solvent was evaluated. Dioxane and toluene were not suitable as solvents. DMSO performed as the best solvent. DMF gave fair results, but was not as good as DMSO. The copper sources were also found to have remarkable effects on the reaction. CuO and Cu(OAc)₂ were not suitable as copper sources. CuI, CuBr, CuCl, CuSO₄, and CuBr₂ were found to be inferior to Cu₂O as catalysts for the C–S coupling reaction. No reaction was observed without any copper source. The







Figure 1. Effect of H₂O on the cross-coupling reaction of PhI and *p*-Me-C₆H₄SH. The volume sum of H₂O and DMSO is equal to 1 ml.


* Corresponding authors. Tel./fax: +86 551 3607476 (Y.F.).
E-mail address: fuyao@ustc.edu.cn (Y. Fu).


Table 1
Copper-catalyzed coupling of *p*-methyl benzenethiol with iodobenzene^a






1



2



3


4


5


6


7


8

Entry	Cu source	Ligand	Base	Solvent	Yield ^b (%)
1	Cu ₂ O	1	Cs ₂ CO ₃	DMSO	98
2	Cu ₂ O	2	Cs ₂ CO ₃	DMSO	85
3	Cu ₂ O	3	Cs ₂ CO ₃	DMSO	76
4	Cu ₂ O	4	Cs ₂ CO ₃	DMSO	76
5	Cu ₂ O	5	Cs ₂ CO ₃	DMSO	78
6	Cu ₂ O	6	Cs ₂ CO ₃	DMSO	63
7	Cu ₂ O	7	Cs ₂ CO ₃	DMSO	53
8	Cu ₂ O	8	Cs ₂ CO ₃	DMSO	33
9	Cu ₂ O	—	Cs ₂ CO ₃	DMSO	54
10	Cu ₂ O	1	K ₂ CO ₃	DMSO	75
11	Cu ₂ O	1	K ₃ PO ₄	DMSO	84
12	Cu ₂ O	1	KOH	DMSO	76
13	Cu ₂ O	1	Cs ₂ CO ₃	DMF	85
14	Cu ₂ O	1	Cs ₂ CO ₃	Dioxane	42
15	Cu ₂ O	1	Cs ₂ CO ₃	Toluene	22
16	CuI	1	Cs ₂ CO ₃	DMSO	66
17	CuBr	1	Cs ₂ CO ₃	DMSO	39
18	CuCl	1	Cs ₂ CO ₃	DMSO	50
19	Cu(OAc) ₂	1	Cs ₂ CO ₃	DMSO	18
20	CuSO ₄	1	Cs ₂ CO ₃	DMSO	65
21	CuBr ₂	1	Cs ₂ CO ₃	DMSO	86
22	CuO	1	Cs ₂ CO ₃	DMSO	2
23	—	1	Cs ₂ CO ₃	DMSO	0
24 ^c	Cu ₂ O	1	Cs ₂ CO ₃	DMSO	25
25 ^d	Cu ₂ O	1	Cs ₂ CO ₃	DMSO	98
26 ^e	Cu ₂ O	1	Cs ₂ CO ₃	DMSO	90

^a General reaction conditions: iodobenzene (1.0 mmol), *p*-methyl benzenethiol (1.1 mmol), Cu catalyst (0.05 mmol), ligand (0.1 mmol), and Cs₂CO₃ (2 mmol) in indicated solvent (1.0 ml) at 80 °C under Ar for 20 h.

^b GC yield.

^c The reaction was carried out at 60 °C.

^d The reaction was carried out at 100 °C.

^e The reaction was carried out in air.


yield of product drastically decreased when reducing the reaction temperature, while the yield of product remain the same with higher reaction temperatures. In addition, the yield of product reduced only slightly even when the reaction was carried out in air. Therefore, the optimized conditions employed 5 mol % of Cu₂O, 10 mol % of ligand **1**, and 2 equiv of Cs₂CO₃ in DMSO at 80 °C under argon.

Next, in order to further simplify the procedure, we explored the effect of water on the reaction under the optimized conditions as shown in Figure 1. Small amount of water had no impact on the reaction (volume of H₂O = 0.1 ml). However, the yield of product decreased when more water was added. No reaction was observed in water.

According to these findings, all materials used in the experiments need not be dry and can be used directly, which can drastically reduce the cost and time of the reaction. This is important for application to large-scale processes (see Table 1).

After the best reaction condition was set, we firstly screened a range of commercially available aryl and heteroaryl iodides and aryl, alkyl, and heteroaryl thiols to explore the scope of the C–S

Table 2
The C–S cross-coupling reaction of aryl iodides with thiols^a


































































Entry	Aryl iodides	Thiols	Product	Isolated yield (%)
1				86
2				95
3				93
4				96
5				90
6				95
7				93
8				51
9				92
10				90
11				81
12				93
13				90
14				76
15				89
16				82
17				73
18				71
19				70
20				91
21				92

Table 2 (continued)

Entry	Aryl iodides	Thiols	Product	Isolated yield (%)
22				90
23				87
24				93
25				91
26				95
27				96
28				86
29				83
30				90
31				93
32				91
33				90

^a Reaction conditions: aryl iodide (1 mmol), thiol (1.1 mmol), Cu₂O (0.05 mmol), ligand **1** (0.1 mmol), and Cs₂CO₃ (2 mmol) in DMSO (1 ml) at 80 °C under Ar for 20 h.

coupling reaction. As shown in Table 2,¹⁰ the coupling of different thiols with aryl and heteroaryl iodide moieties was successful, leading to the desired products in excellent yields. The protocol is tolerant to electron-withdrawing and -donating functional groups and also to the presence of a functional group in the *ortho*-position of the aryl iodide or thiol (entries 9–11 and 28–30). Heteroaryl iodides (entries 32 and 33) and heteroaryl thiols (entry 14) were also applied to this coupling reaction. The coupling reaction is also very chemoselective. Aryl iodides coupled with thiols without affecting fluoro, chloro and bromo groups present in the aryl ring (entries 5–7, 22 and 23). Moreover, the reaction showed interesting chemoselectivity for the thiol. For instance, in entries 1 and 11 C–S coupling was preferred in the presence of an –NH₂ group.

Next, we investigated the reactivities of diverse aryl (or heteroaryl) bromides and chlorides. Both aryl bromides and chlorides with electron-donating groups or without any substituents could not react with thiophenol, while aryl bromides and chlorides with electron-withdrawing groups could react with thiophenol to give

Table 3The C–S cross-coupling reaction of aryl halides with thiophenol^a

$$\text{RX} + \text{PhSH} \xrightarrow[\text{Cs}_2\text{CO}_3, \text{DMSO, Ar, 80 } ^\circ\text{C}]{\text{Cu}_2\text{O, ligand } \mathbf{1}} \text{R-S-Ph}$$

X = Br, Cl


Entry	Aryl halides	Thiols	Product	Isolated yield (%)
1				61
2				67
3				90 ^b
4				85
5				85
6				52
7				53
8				82
9				71
10				77

^a Reaction conditions: arylhalide (1 mmol), thiol (1.1 mmol), Cu₂O (0.05 mmol), ligand **1** (0.1 mmol), and Cs₂CO₃ (2 mmol) in DMSO (1 ml) at 80 °C under Ar for 24 h.

^b Only 8% yield of the corresponding product was obtained without Cu₂O and ligand **1** under the similar reaction condition.

moderate yields. 3-Bromopyridine and 3-chloropyridine also could be applied to this coupling reaction and satisfactory yields were obtained. The results are summarized in Table 3.

On the basis of these observations and by reference to the literature,¹¹ we propose that these reactions proceed by oxidative addition followed by reductive elimination. The results from the

**Scheme 1.**

present study are consistent with the mechanism in which a four-coordinated Cu(III) intermediate is involved (see Scheme 1). According to the mechanism, the role of the ethyl 2-oxocyclohexanecarboxylate ligand in the reaction is either to promote the oxidative addition of ArX to the Cu(I) species or to stabilize the Cu(III) intermediate.

In conclusion, we have described an efficient modified protocol for C–S cross-coupling of aryl, alkyl, and heteroaryl thiols with aryl and heteroaryl iodides, bromides, and chlorides using cheap and available Cu₂O as the catalyst. This catalytic procedure offers general applicability and simplicity, avoiding the use of expensive Cu salts and the use of dry starting materials. Because of these interesting features, we believe that the newly developed protocol can be applied to large-scale synthesis of aromatic thioethers.

Acknowledgments

This research was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 20802015 and 20602034) and the Doctoral Special Fund of Hefei University of Technology (No. 2007GDBJ021).

References and notes

- (a) Hartwig, J. F. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **1998**, *37*, 2046–2067; (b) Beletskaya, I. P.; Cheprakov, A. V. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2004**, *248*, 2337–2364; (c) Corbet, J. P.; Mignani, G. *Chem. Rev.* **2006**, *106*, 2651–2710.
- (a) Lindley, J. *Tetrahedron* **1984**, *40*, 1433–1456; (b) Sasaki, N. A.; Hashimoto, C.; Potier, P. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987**, *28*, 6069–6072; (c) Van Bierbeek, A.; Gingras, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, *39*, 6283–6286.
- (a) Fernández-Rodríguez, M. A.; Shen, Q.; Hartwig, J. F. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2006**, *12*, 7782–7796; (b) Fernández-Rodríguez, M. A.; Shen, Q.; Hartwig, J. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 2180–2181.
- (a) Palomo, C.; Oiarbide, M.; Lopez, R.; Gomez-Bengoa, E. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, *41*, 1283–1286; (b) Herradura, P. S.; Pendola, K. A.; Guy, R. K. *Org. Lett.* **2000**, *2*, 2019–2022; (c) Yee Kwong, F.; Buchwald, S. L. *Org. Lett.* **2002**, *4*, 3517–3520; (d) Bates, C. G.; Gujadhur, R. K.; Venkataraman, D. *Org. Lett.* **2002**, *4*, 2803–2806; (e) Savarin, C.; Srogl, J.; Liebeskind, L. S. *Org. Lett.* **2002**, *4*, 4309–4312; (f) Wu, Y. J.; He, H. *Synlett* **2003**, 1789–1790; (g) Deng, W.; Liu, L.; Guo, Q.-X. *Chin. J. Org. Chem.* **2004**, *24*, 150–165; (h) Deng, W.; Zou, Y.; Wang, Y. F.; Liu, L.; Guo, Q. X. *Synlett* **2004**, 1254–1258; (i) Bates, C. G.; Saejueng, P.; Doherty, M. Q.; Venkataraman, D. *Org. Lett.* **2004**, *6*, 5005–5008; (j) Chen, Y. J.; Chen, H. H. *Org. Lett.* **2006**, *8*, 5609–5612; (k) Zhu, D.; Xu, L.; Wu, F.; Wan, B. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2006**, *47*, 5781–5784; (l) Ranu, B. C.; Saha, A.; Jana, R. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2007**, *349*, 2690–2696; (m) Rout, L.; Sen, T. K.; Punniyamurthy, T. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 5583–5586; (n) Lv, X.; Bao, W. *J. Org. Chem.* **2007**, *72*, 3863–3867; (o) Carril, M.; SanMartin, R.; Dominguez, E.; Tellitu, I. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2007**, *13*, 5100–5105; (p) Verma, A. K.; Singh, J.; Chaudhary, R. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2007**, *48*, 7199–7202; (q) Rout, L.; Saha, P.; Jammi, S.; Punniyamurthy, T. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2008**, *4*, 640–643; (r) Sperotto, E.; van Klink, G. P. M.; de Vries, J. G.; van Koten, G. J. *Org. Chem.* **2008**, *73*, 5625.
- (a) Zhang, Y.; Ngeow, K. N.; Ying, J. Y. *Org. Lett.* **2007**, *9*, 3495–3499; (b) Jammi, S.; Barua, P.; Rout, L.; Saha, P.; Punniyamurthy, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2008**, *49*, 1484–1487.
- Wong, Y. C.; Jayanth, T. T.; Cheng, C. H. *Org. Lett.* **2006**, *8*, 5613–5616.
- Correa, A.; Carril, M.; Bolm, C. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 2880–2883.
- Shen, G.; Lv, X.; Qian, W.; Bao, W. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2008**, *49*, 4556–4559.
- (a) Ma, D.; Zhang, Y.; Yao, J.; Wu, S.; Tao, F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 12459–12467; (b) Klapars, A.; Antilla, J. C.; Huang, X.; Buchwald, S. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 7727–7729; (c) Ma, D.; Cai, Q. *Org. Lett.* **2003**, *5*, 3799–3802.
- General experimental procedure. All reagents and solvents were pure analytical grade materials purchased from commercial sources and were used without further purification. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl₃ on a 300 MHz instrument with TMS as internal standard. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were determined on a Micromass GCT-MS mass spectrometer. TLC was carried out with 0.2 mm thick silica gel plates (GF254). The columns were hand packed with silica gel 60 (200–300). All reactions were carried out in a Schlenk tube equipped with a magnetic stir bar under Ar atmosphere. A Schlenk tube was charged with Cu salt (0.05 mmol), inorganic base (2 mmol), and solid substrate, if present. Then liquid reagents (aryl or heteroaryl halide, 1 mmol; thiol, 1.1 mmol), ligand (0.1 mmol), and solvent (1 ml) were added under Ar. The reaction vessel was closed and placed under stirring in a preheated oil bath at 80 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for the time listed in Tables 1–3. The resulting suspension was cooled to room temperature and filtered through a pad of filter paper with the help of 10 ml of ethyl acetate. The filtrate was concentrated and the residue was purified by silica gel chromatography. (4-Methoxyphenyl) phenyl sulfane (entry 2, Table 2): ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.78 (s, 3H), 6.98 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.17–7.21 (m, 5H), 7.40 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 55.2, 115.0, 124.2, 125.7, 128.2, 128.9, 135.3, 138.6, 159.8 ppm. HRMS m/z: 216.0613 (216.0609 calcd for C₁₃H₁₂OS).
- Zhang, S. L.; Liu, L.; Fu, Y.; Guo, Q. X. *Organometallics* **2007**, *26*, 4546–4554.